

# Errors and Uncertainty Part 2



### What is a Distribution

- Gives the relative chance(probability) getting a value when making 1 measurement of a particular quantity
  - As you make repeated measurements you are pulling more possible values out of the distribution
- You usually make a guess about the distribution for the measurement based on previous measurements, often assume the Normal Distribution
- When you make a single measurement you sampling the distribution, with multiple samples we can start to make more accurate statements about the distribution
- Shows how you should see the measurements to be distributed over all possible values if you were able to repeat the measurement and infinite amount of time
- Only addresses the random error, systematic error is assumed to be small or 0



### Gaussian Distribution

- Most commonly used distribution
- Also called Normal Distribution
- $P(x) = k * e^{-\left(\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}$
- 3 constants (actually only 2) in equation
  - μ is the mean, the center and peak of the distribution and the most likely value
  - $-\sigma$  is the called variance which controls the width
  - The height is how to get that value when making a measurement
  - k is the normalization just scales the whole thing so that the sum (integral) is 1



contains about 67% of the total area and the combined green and blue contain 95% of the total area.

LSU rev09242020

L05.02



## The Mean

- There are in fact 2 means we want to think about
- $\mu$  the mean of the distribution (Could be thought of as the true mean)
  - May be called expectation value
  - Simply the weighted average by probability of all possible values in the distribution
- X the mean of the sample (i.e. the average value you measured)
  - $X=\sum x_i/N$ : where  $x_i$  are all the individual measurements and N is the number of measurements
  - This is what is called an estimator, we are trying to estimate some property of the distribution based on finite number of measurements, as N becomes large the estimator approaches the true value



### Standard Deviations

- Standard Deviation by itself is a somewhat imprecise that could have different meanings in different contexts/fields
- Because of this you want to be specific which one you are using (define the equation somewhere)
- Not the same as the  $\sigma$  used
- 3 Standard Deviations with 3 Different meanings

• Population Standard Deviation

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(x_i - \mu)^2}{N}}$$

- Sample Standard Deviation  $\sigma_s = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(x_i - X)^2}{N - 1}}$
- Standard Deviation of the Mean

$$\sigma_m = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_s^2}{N}}$$



## Standard Deviation (Population)

• 
$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(x_i - \mu)^2}{N}}$$

- Note that it depends on µ (the true mean) which for many applications you do not know
- However if your measurements are the entire set of values you are interested in (the entire population) you could use this

- You are trying to estimate the σ of the distribution
- What if we just replace µ with X (sample mean)
- Doing this underestimates the error so we do not want to use it
- As N becomes very large  $\sigma_p$ will equal  $\sigma$



## Standard Deviation (Sample)

• 
$$\sigma_s = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(x_i - X)^2}{N - 1}}$$

- We can correct  $\sigma_p$  for the bias
- Because N-1<N  $\sigma_s$  will always be larger than  $\sigma_p$
- As N becomes the -1 doesn't really matter so for a large enough N,  $\sigma_p = \sigma_s$
- Used when you only have a sample of the population or distribution (almost always the case)
- With 1 measurement you get  $\frac{0}{0}$ , which is undefined, but that makes sense because you can not make any meaningful statement about a distribution based on 1 sample other than saying that sample is in the distribution
- However correcting the bias does not mean  $\sigma = \sigma_p$  it just mean you are just as likely overestimate as underestimate  $\sigma$
- As N becomes very large  $\sigma_s$  will equal  $\sigma$



# Standard Deviation of the Mean

- $\sigma_m = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_s^2}{N}}$
- With  $\sigma_{p,\sigma_s}$  you are making estimating the error in a single measurement (estimating  $\sigma$ )
- $\sigma_m$  you estimating how close to X (your sample mean) is to  $\mu$  (the true mean of the distribution), the error in X
- Unlike  $\sigma_{p,\sigma_s}$  as N becomes very large  $\sigma_m$  will become zero



### Ballooning Course Example: Standard Deviation

 Suppose we make 5 measurements of the temperature a with a digital thermometer that reads out to 0.1
 Degrees

LaACES

• First calculate the sum

B	7	•	×	$\checkmark f_x$	=SUM(B	2:B6)
	Α	1	В	С	D	E
1		Value				
2			35.0	)		
3			35.0	)		
4			34.8	3		
5			34.5	5		
6			35.4	1		
7	Total		174.7	7		
8	N		5	5		
9	Mean	34	.940000	)		



### <sup>\*</sup> Example: Standard Deviation

- Suppose we make 5 measurements of the temperature a with a digital thermometer that reads out to 0.1
   Degrees
- First calculate the sum
- Next calculate the mean

BS	)	• : ×	$\sqrt{-f_x}$	=B7/B8
	А	В	с	D
1		Value		
2		35.0		
3		35.0		
4		34.8		
5		34.5		
6		35.4		
7	Total	174.7		
8	N	5		
9	Mean	34.940000		



### LaACES Student Ballooning Course Example: Standard Deviation

• Then you need to subtract the mean from each measurement

		Tubics	1		mastrations				
D6		▼ : × ✓ f <sub>x</sub>		f <sub>x</sub>	=B6-\$B\$9				
	А		В	С	D	E			
1		Value			Value - Mean				
2			35.0		0.0600				
3			35.0		0.0600				
4			34.8		-0.1400				
5			34.5		-0.4400				
6			35.4		0.4600				
7	Total		174.7						
8	N		5						
9	Mean		34.9400						
10									



### Ballooning **Example: Standard Deviation**

• Then you need to subtract the mean from each measurement

LaACES Student

Course

• Then square each of them

F6 $\checkmark$ : $\times \checkmark f_x$ =D6^2						
1	A	В	С	D	E	F
1		Value		Value - Mean		Squared
2		35.0		0.0600		0.0036
3		35.0		0.0600		0.0036
4		34.8		-0.1400		0.0196
5		34.5		-0.4400		0.1936
6		35.4		0.4600		0.2116
7	Total	174.7				
8	N	5				
9	Mean	34.9400				
10						



### Ballooning Course Example: Standard Deviation

- Then you need to subtract the mean from each measurement
- Then square each of them
- Sum the squares

LaACES

F7	7	* : × < .	f <sub>x</sub>	=SUM(F2:F6)			
2	А	В	С	D	Е	F	
1		Value		Value - Mean		Squared	
2		35.0		0.0600		0.0036	
3		35.0		0.0600		0.0036	
4		34.8		-0.1400		0.0196	
5		34.5		-0.4400		0.1936	
6		35.4		0.4600		0.2116	
7	Total	174.7		Sum of the Squared		0.4320	
8	N	5					
9	Mean	34.9400					
10							
11							
12							



LaACES Student Ballooning

Course

### Example: Standard Deviation

- Then you need to subtract the mean from each measurement
- Then square each of them
- Sum the squares
- Divide by N-1

F8	3	▼ I × ✓	f <sub>x</sub>	=F7/(B8-1)		
1	A	В	с	D	Е	F
1		Value		Value - Mean		Squared
2		35.0		0.0600		0.0036
3		35.0		0.0600		0.0036
4		34.8		-0.1400		0.0196
5		34.5		-0.4400		0.1936
6		35.4		0.4600		0.2116
7	Total	174.7		Sum of the Squared		0.4320
8	N	5		Divide by N-1		0.1080
9	Mean	34.9400				
10						



### <sup><sup>g</sup></sup> Example: Standard Deviation

- Then you need to subtract the mean from each measurement
- Then square each of them
- Sum the squares
- Divide by N-1
- And take the square root

FS	F9 $\checkmark$ : $\times \checkmark f_x$		f <sub>x</sub>	=SQRT(F8)				
1	Α	В	С	D	Е	F		
1		Value		Value - Mean		Squared		
2		35.0		0.0600		0.0036		
3		35.0		0.0600		0.0036		
4		34.8		-0.1400		0.0196		
5		34.5		-0.4400		0.1936		
6		35.4		0.4600		0.2116		
7	Total	174.7		Sum of the Squared		0.4320		
8	N	5		Divide by N-1		0.1080		
9	Mean	34.9400		Take the Square Root		0.328633535		
10								
11								



LaACES Student Ballooning

Course

## Example: Standard Deviation

- However you could most programs have a built in standard deviation function
- But be careful to use the correct (sample not population)

		Tables		Illus	trations		
SU	JM	* : × < .	f <sub>x</sub>	=stdev			
4	A	В	с	STDEV.P	Ĩ I	E F	G
1		Value		Val 🕞 STDEV.S	Estir	mates standard deviation base	d on a sample
2		35.0		() STDEVA	0600	0.0036	
3		35.0		(fx) STDEVPA	0600	0.0036	
4		34.8		(ASTDEV	L400	0.0196	
5		34.5		DSTDEV	1400	0.1936	
6		35.4		<b>DSTDEVP</b>	1600	0.2116	
7	Total	174.7		Sum of the Squ	ared	0.4320	
8	N	5		Divide by N-1		0.1080	
9	Mean	34.9400		Take the Square	Root	0.328633535	
10				or Just use form	nula	=stdev	
11						22.07	



LaACES Student Ballooning

Course

### <sup>\*</sup> Example: Standard Deviation

- However you could most programs have a built in standard deviation function
- But be careful to use the correct (sample not population)
- But can see gives the same result

F1	10	• : × 🗸	$\bullet$ : $\times$ $\checkmark$ $f_{\rm x}$		=STDEV.S(B2:B6)		
	A	В	С	D	Е	F	
1		Value		Value - Mean		Squared	
2		35.0		0.0600		0.0036	
3		35.0		0.0600		0.0036	
4		34.8		-0.1400		0.0196	
5		34.5		-0.4400		0.1936	
6		35.4		0.4600		0.2116	
7	Total	174.7		Sum of the Squared		0.4320	
8	N	5		Divide by N-1		0.1080	
9	Mean	34.9400		Take the Square Root		0.328633535	
10				or Just use formula		0.328633535	



### Ballooning Course Example: Standard Deviation

• But what if we did the measurement with a bulb thermometer that could only has 0.5 deg resolution

LaACES

- We get 0 for both the Standard Deviation and SD of the mean
- Does that mean no error?

F1	.6	*	X 🗸 .	f <sub>x</sub>				
	A		В	С	D	E	F	G
1		Value			Value - Mean		Squared	
2			35.0		0.0000		0.0000	
3			35.0		0.0000		0.0000	
4			35.0		0.0000		0.0000	
5			35.0		0.0000		0.0000	
6			35.0		0.0000		0.0000	
7	Total		175.0		Sum of the Squared		0.0000	
8	N		5		Divide by N-1		0.0000	
9	Mean		35.0000		Take the Square Root		0	
10					or Just use formula		0	
11								
12					SD of Mean		0	
13								



# What if my Standard Deviation is 0(or very small)?

- Let's say I measure the length of a metal bar with a ruler 10 times with a ruler marked in mm and I get 12 each time
- Calculating the  $\sigma_s$  you get 0 so I know the bar is exactly 12 mm, no uncertainty, down to the smallest fraction of a mm, right?
- NO! We have completely left out the other type of uncertainty, systematic
- Since the ruler is only marked in 1mm increments we would probably want to estimate the systematic error to be at least that large
  - Maybe you could argue 0.5mm but clearly if this was a digital measurement you couldn't go smaller than the last displayed digit
  - You would also want to include any accuracy given by the manufacturer specifications
- Need to estimate the systematic uncertainty and add it to the random uncertainty



## Adding Errors

• Clearly the simplest solution would be to just add the errors together

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$$

• But we don't really expect them both to be at a max at the same time so can instead add them in quadrature

$$\sigma = \sqrt{(\sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2)^2}$$

• This assumes independent variables and normal distribution



### Example: Adding Error

• Returning to our temperature example we can add the systematic and random errors

• 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{(\sigma_{rand})^2 + (\sigma_{sys})^2}$$

• For the bulb thermometer its easy, the random error we calculated was 0 so:

• 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{(0)^2 + 0.5^2} = 0.5^{\circ} \text{C}$$

• For a less trivial example lets look at the digital thermometer

• 
$$\sigma = \sqrt{(0.1)^2 + (0.3286)^2}$$
  
= 0.34347 °C



### Propagation of Error

• If f is a function of variables  $(x_1, x_2, ...)$ 

$$\sigma_f = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \sigma_{x1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \sigma_{x2}\right)^2 + \cdots}$$

- This is a generalization of the addition formula
- Again assumes independent variables and normal distribution
- Partial Derivative treat all other variables as constants and take the derivative of that one variable (feel free to look up the derivatives)



LaACES

### Ballooning Course Example Propagation of Error

- We want to know the volume of a rectangular object with dimensions of 10 mm x 12 mm x 5 mm
- The error for each measurement is dominated by systematic for each is 0.5mm

- V = l \* w \* h
- V =10mm \* 12mm \* 5mm = 600 mm<sup>3</sup>



### Ballooning Course Example Propagation of Error

• 
$$V = l * w * h$$

• V is a function of 3 variables 1, w, and h

• 
$$\sigma_V = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial l} \ \sigma_l\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial w} \ \sigma_w\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial h} \ \sigma_h\right)^2}$$
  
•  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial l} = w * h$   $\frac{\partial V}{\partial w} = l * h$   $\frac{\partial V}{\partial h} = l * h$   
•  $\sigma_V = \sqrt{(wh\sigma_l)^2 + (lh\sigma_w)^2 + (lw\sigma_h)^2}$ 

LSU rev09242020



### Ballooning Course Example Propagation of Error

• 
$$\sigma_V = \sqrt{(wh\sigma_l)^2 + (lh\sigma_w)^2 + (lw\sigma_h)^2}$$

• Notice each term in parenthesis has units of volume

• 
$$\sigma_l = \sigma_w = \sigma_h = 0.5 mm$$

LaACES Student

• 
$$\sigma_V = \sqrt{(12 * 5 * 0.5)^2 + (10 * 5 * 0.5)^2 + (10 * 12 * 0.5)^2}$$

- $\sigma_V = 71.5 \ mm^3$
- The volume is  $600\pm70 \text{ mm}^3$
- More examples available in R05.02 Propagation of Error
- <u>https://laspace.lsu.edu/laaces/wp-</u> <u>content/uploads/2020/08/R05.02\_Propagation\_of\_Errors.pdf</u>



### Poisson Distribution

- Used for many types of counting measurements like radioactive decay, photon counting, river flooding..
- k is number of occurrences, λ is the average number of occurences
- $P(k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$  (Probability of having 0 floods, 1 flood, k floods in the next 100 years, when on average have  $\lambda$  every 100 years)
- When  $\lambda$  is very large just becomes a normal distribution



Poisson distributions of various  $\lambda$ 





## But why Gaussian?

- If there are other distributions, why do we usually assume a Gaussian Distributions
- In the large number case (big n or  $\lambda$ ) other distributions become close to a Gaussian
- There is good math for doing propagation and error handling
- It is a good model for many physical measurements
  - Can prove this is the case from a very many very small errors adding up from the Central Limit Theorem



## **Reporting Measurements**

- If I think the error in a measurement is 0.5 mm does it make sense to report the average as 12.003mm
- The common practice is to round the error to 1 or 2 significant digit and then round the corresponding measurement to that digit
  - So we would report the values as  $12.0\pm0.5$ mm
- However do not round intermediate values used for calculations because you do not want to have rounding errors compound
- Also errors should have the same units as the measurement
- You want to be clear about how you have calculated errors and what you mean with your ±, show your work



### Putting it all together

- Let's assume, we first did repeated temperature measurements at one temperature to show the random error is small compared to the 0.5 error from our bulb thermometer
- From the pixel size and signal width in Spectrogram software we estimate the systematic uncertainty of to be 11 Hz
- We decide we need to take 5 independent frequency measurements at each temperature

	Temp (C)	95.0	Error in T	0.5	
	Frequen	cies (Hz)			
Beep #	1	2			
1	3767	5038	1271		
2	3724	4995	1271		
3	3746	4995	1249		
4	3746	5016	1270		
5	3767	4995	1228		
		Mean	1257.8		
		Std Dev	19.12328		
		SD Mean	8.552193		
		Syst Err	11		
		Total Freq			
		Error	13.93341		



### Putting it all together

- We will calculate the mean of our 5 frequencies and use that as our fitting point
- We then need to calculate the standard deviation of that mean to determine the random frequency error for that mean value
- The we need to add the systematic frequency error to the random to find the total frequency error
- Doing this gives us our first datapoint (1257.8±14 Hz, 95.0 ±0.5°C)

	Temp (C)	95.0	Error in T	0.5	
	Frequen	cies (Hz)			
Beep #	1	2			
1	3767	5038	1271		
2	3724	4995	1271		
3	3746	4995	1249		
4	3746	5016	1270		
5	3767	4995	1228		
		Mean	1257.8		
		Std Dev	19.12328		
		SD Mean	8.552193		
		Syst Err	11		
		Total Freq			
		Error	13.93341		
			1		



#### LaACES Student Ballooning Course Calculating all our data points

- Now repeat the process for all temperature and frequency measurements
- This gives us a set of x (frequency) and y (temperature) points with a horizontal and vertical error for each datapoint

4	c	D	E	F	G
1	Temperature (C)	Error T	Frequency (Hz)	Error f	
2	95.0	0.5	1257.8	13.93341	
3	90.0	0.5	1111	16.90266	
4	77.0	0.5	981.8	15.44798	
5	68.0	0.5	771.6	11.84736	
6	52.0	0.5	728	11.7047	
7	40.0	0.5	616	12.21475	
8	35.0	0.5	560	13.01538	
9	26.0	0.5	508.4	12.19672	
10	14.0	0.5	495	11	
11	5.0	0.5	487.2	12.24908	
12					
12					



### Plot the points

- Now we want to plot all of the points together
- Since the goal of the experiment is to be able to read a frequency and be able to tell what temperature the thermistor is, we want T as a function f
- So we pick f as the x values and T as the y values





### Add Error Bars

- We add the error bars to our plot
- Select in this case our error is symmetric, so we use the same value for both the positive and negative error
- Additionally we want to select the option that lets use specify values for error and not a percentage, standard deviation, or fixed value for example
- Add both horizontal and vertical error bars, using the errors we calculated
- It may be necessary to adjust to point marker size or add a caption if the errors are small LSU rev09242020



L05.02



- Finally we want to add a trendline
- Clearly the data is not linear
- Ideally, we would have some theoretical basis for picking a particular fit but we can also try seeing what matches the data
- Also probably want to show the equation of the fit on out plot



## Finish the Plot and Draw Conclusions

- Don't forget to add the axes titles, units, plot title, etc.
- If we have a good fit and correctly assessed our errors, we expect  $\sim 2/3$  of our points error bars to overlap with our fit line (Remember 67% 1 $\sigma$ )
- Many less than 2/3
  - Maybe not a good fit function
  - Possibly underestimated errors, missed systematics
- Many more than 2/3
  - Too many constants in your function
  - Overestimated error, manufacturer specification often give "guaranteed to be this accurate" rather than a more scientific error